

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **OAKLAND DIVISION**
11

12 LAURI VALJAKKA,

13 *Plaintiff,*

14 v.

15 NETFLIX, INC.,

16 *Defendant.*
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 4:22-cv-01490-JST

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE
SEALED [LAMKIN DECLARATION ISO
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO MODIFY
THE SCHEDULING ORDER]**

On March 14, 2025, Defendant Netflix, Inc. (“Netflix”) filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed (“Administrative Sealing Motion”) relating to the Declaration of Rachael Lamkin in support of Netflix’s Unopposed Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order (“Lamkin Declaration”), which contains information designated CONFIDENTIAL under the Protective Order by non-party AiPi, LLC.

The Court having reviewed the evidence in support of the Administrative Sealing Motion, finds that the following constitutes sealable material under Local Rule 79-5:

Name of Document	Portion(s) to Seal
Lamkin Declaration in Support of Unopposed Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order	Highlighted portions: • 4:3-27
Exhibits G through J to the Lamkin Declaration in Support of Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order	Entirety

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Netflix’s Administrative Sealing Motion is GRANTED. The unredacted version of the Lamkin Declaration, and Exhibits G through J thereto shall be filed under seal pursuant to L.R. 79-5(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2025

Hon. JON S. TIGAR
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE